

**ARIZONA GAME AND FISH DEPARTMENT
HERITAGE DATA MANAGEMENT SYSTEM**

Animal Abstract

Element Code: AABH01210

Data Sensitivity: No

CLASSIFICATION, NOMENCLATURE, DESCRIPTION, RANGE

NAME: *Lithobates tarahumarae* (*Rana tarahumarae*)

COMMON NAME: Tarahumara Frog, Mexican Frog

SYNONYMS: *Rana tarahumarae*

FAMILY: Anura: Ranidae

AUTHOR, PLACE OF PUBLICATION: Boulenger, Ann. & Mag. Nat. Hist. (ser. 8)
20(120): 413-418. 1917.

TYPE LOCALITY: “Ioquiro [=? Yoquivo] and Barranca del Cobre, Sierra Tarahumaré, [Chihuahua,] N.W. Mexico”; restricted to “Yoquivo, Chihuahua”, Mexico, by Smith and Taylor, 1950, Univ. Kansas Sci. Bull., 33: 327. Type locality modified to “Sierra Tarahumare, Sonora”, Mexico, by Schmidt, 1953, Check List N. Am. Amph. Rept., Ed. 6: 81.

TYPE SPECIMEN: Syntypes: British Museum Nat. Hist. 1947, 2.28.76-79 (formerly 1911.12.1236-39) from Ioquiro and 1947.2.1.63-64 (formerly 1914.1.28.148-149 from Barranca del Cobre).

TAXONOMIC UNIQUENESS: Monotypic species in the *Lithobates tarahumarae* group (Webb 1978, Hale and May 1983). Zweifel (1968) suggested that *L. tarahumarae* was part of the poorly understood *L. boylii* group, with *L. pustulosa* being the closest relative, however further evaluation of specimens revealed that it was not a part of the *L. boylii* group.

DESCRIPTION: A medium-sized frog ranging in sizes from 5.8-11.4 cm (2.3-4.5 in) snout-vent (females are larger than males), is usually brown in color, but may have an olive green wash or tinges of yellow-orange. The back has small dark spots that are often obscure and dark crossbars on the hind legs. The ventral surface is white, yet the throat and chest may have gray melanophores arranged in no definite pattern; yellow coloration may be present in the groin. No mask or jaw strip are present. The skin is pustulose, and the hind feet are extensively webbed; males have a swollen and darkened thumb base. The dorsolateral fold, characteristic of related leopard frogs and other ranid species, is absent or faint, and the tympanum is indistinct. (Wright and Wright 1949, Stebbins 1951 and 2003, Zweifel 1968, Hale and May 1983).

Later stage larvae are greenish-yellow with small dark spots over the dorsum and larger spots on the tail. Larvae may grow as large as 9.7 cm (3.8 in) before metamorphosis. Adult and juvenile frogs of both sexes have calls that are not very loud and consist of short snores, a

whining noise, and occasional “*eeps*”. (Wright and Wright 1949, Stebbins 1951, Zweifel 1968, Hale and May 1983, USFWS 2005).

AIDS TO IDENTIFICATION: *L. tarahumarae* is most easily confused with *R. catesbeiana* (American Bullfrog), which grows to a much larger size, has a chin that is white with gray mottling, smoother skin, and a conspicuous tympanum (Rorabaugh 2006). In addition *L. tarahumarae* has dark banding on the hind legs and indistinct dorsolateral folds (Zweifel 1968, Stebbins 1985).

ILLUSTRATIONS:

Black and white photos (Wright and Wright 1949: plate CXXII)

Black and white drawing (Stebbins 1966: plate 11)

Color photo (Behler and King 1979: plate 193)

Black and white drawing (Stebbins 1985: plate 13)

Color drawing (Stebbins 2003: plate 18)

Color photos (by Jim Rorabaugh, Tom Brennan, and Chris Gruenwald, in AZ PARC at <http://www.reptilesfaz.com/Turtle-Amphibs-Subpages/h-r-tarahumarae.html>)

Color photo (Brad Moon 1992, in CalPhotos Photo Database at http://calphotos.berkeley.edu/cgi/img_query?)

Color photo (Suzanne L. Collins 2001, in CNAH 1994-2006 at <http://www.cnah.org/detail.asp?id=1180>)

Color photos (by Cecil Schwalbe, Stephen Hale, and Erik F. Enderson, in The Tucson Herpetological Society at <http://www.arts.arizona.edu/herp/RATA.html>)

TOTAL RANGE: Historically from extreme southern Arizona in the United States, south to northern Sinaloa and southwestern Chihuahua, Mexico. The current range is similar to its historical range, but the species is no longer extant at some northern localities. It was recently reestablished (2004) in a canyon of the Santa Rita Mountains in Arizona.

RANGE WITHIN ARIZONA: Before its extirpation from the state in the early 1980s, *L. tarahumarae* was found in three drainages in the Santa Rita Mountains and three drainages in the Pajarito-Atascosa-Tumacacori mountains complex in Santa Cruz County. From June-October 2004, an experimental population of 56 adults, 229 juveniles, and 327 larval frogs was reestablished into one of three historic canyons in the Santa Rita Mountains; source of frogs from northern Sonora, Mexico. Additional sites including a drainage in the Pajarito Mountains are being considered for additional reestablishments (USFWS 2005).

SPECIES BIOLOGY AND POPULATION TRENDS

BIOLOGY: Adults spend most of their time around permanent sources of water and plunge pools. “Despite an absence of vocal sacs, Tarahumara frogs manage a variety of sounds, including grunts, *eeps*, *phoots*, and most characteristically, a gentle snore. Calls are not very loud, and some calls are made underwater.” (Rorabaugh 2006). In the cool, dry

winter months, frogs retreat to hibernacula where they can remain moist and protected from predators and freezing temperatures. They emerge in spring when water temperatures reach about 10° C (50° F) (Hale and May 1983). Most movement occurs during the summer monsoon and is linear along streams with little overland dispersal (Zweifel 1955, Hale and May 1983). Males and juveniles have been reported to move up to 1885 m (6,184 ft) and females up to 651 m (2,136 ft) (Hale and May 1983). The oldest frogs had been estimated to be at least 6 years post-metamorphosis, in a canyon in the Santa Rita Mountains (Hale and May 1983). When disturbed, anti-predator mechanisms typically include hopping into water where they take refuge under leaf litter, rocks, or other debris. Additionally, Tarahumara frogs have skin secretions that can cause mild skin irritation and may be noxious tasting. (Rorabaugh and Hale edited by Lannoo 2005).

REPRODUCTION: Breeding occurs primarily toward the end of the dry season in April and May (Rorabaugh and Hale edited by Lannoo 2005), but breeding has been observed in Arizona as late as July and August during summer rains. The mean number of eggs observed in egg masses from Arizona frogs was 1084 (standard error = 161, n = 7), with larvae in the wild taking up to two years to metamorphose (Hale and May 1983). Tadpoles reared in semi-wild conditions in Arizona metamorphosed in as little as 86 days, but most took longer than 10 months (J.C.R. Personal observations, in Rorabaugh and Hale edited by Lannoo 2005). Tarahumara frog larvae are large at all stages of development when compared to other ranids, growing as large as 106 mm. At metamorphosis, frogs are as small as 21 mm SVL, but most are 35-40 mm. Reproduction probably begins in the second spring following metamorphosis (Hale and May 1983).

FOOD HABITS: Tarahumara frogs are general predators and will eat almost any animal that can be swallowed. Both diurnal and nocturnal feeding is evident based on the array of food observed in their stomachs. Prey items include juvenile Sonoran mud turtles (*Kinosternon sonoriense*), Sonora chub (*Gila ditaenia*), black head snakes (*Tantilla atriceps*), beetles (Tenebrionidae and Scarabaeidae), water bugs (Belostomatidae), sphinx moths (Sphingidae), scorpions (Scorpionida), centipedes (Chilopoda), grasshoppers (Agrididae), mantids (Mantidae), wasps (Hymenoptera), spiders (Lycosidae), crickets (Gryllidae), caddisflies (Tricoptera), and katydids (Tettigoniidae) (Zweifel 1955, Hale and May 1983). NatureServe (2006) reports that adults are mainly invertivorous and larvae eat algae, organic debris, plant tissue, and minute organisms in the water.

HABITAT: Throughout its range, *L. tarahumarae* is typically associated with canyons and deep drought resistant “plunge pools” formed amidst boulders or in bedrock. Stream flows average less than 1.4 cubic meters (370 gallons) per second. Plunge pools in canyons with low mean flows and relatively steep gradients (>60 m per km of stream) provide the best breeding sites. Permanent water is probably necessary for metamorphosis. Habitats are located within oak, pine-oak woodlands, or the Pacific coast tropical area (Sinaloan thornscrub and tropical deciduous forest). (NatureServe 2006, USFWS 2005). Large streams may be avoided because of their propensity to flood and their variable flow rates (Hay and May 1983).

ELEVATION: Elevations of localities range from 460 m (1,500 ft) to over 1860 m (6,100 ft) (Stebbins 1985). Localities in Arizona range from 1068 - 1891 m (3,500 - 6,200 ft).

PLANT COMMUNITY: In Arizona, *L. tarahumarae* occupied habitats in semidesert grassland and Madrean evergreen woodland plant communities (Zweifel 1955, Hale and May 1983).

POPULATION TRENDS: Declining globally. Frogs in the northern ranges seem more susceptible to the chytrid fungus (chytridiomycosis) when stressed by winter cold. This is the likely cause of extirpation of the Tarahumara in Arizona. (Hale et al. 2005). No naturally occurring Tarahumara frogs, larvae, or eggs have been seen in Arizona since May 1983 (Hale and May 1983, Hale and Jarchow 1988, Hale 1992, Sredl et al. 1997, Arizona Game and Fish Department unpublished data). In northern Sonora, at least four of nine populations have been extirpated (Hale and Jarchow 1988, Hale et al. 1998). In 2004 *R. tarahumarae* was reestablished into one drainage of the Santa Rita Mountains, in Arizona. The reestablished population is persisting and reproducing. Nine egg masses were found in May 2005; and the population survived a dramatic flood in August 2005 following the Florida Fire (USFWS 2005). In May 2006, a total of 12 adults, 10 juveniles, 22 large tadpoles, 650 tadpoles, and 1 egg mass were observed at the lower and middle reach sites (USFWS 2006).

SPECIES PROTECTION AND CONSERVATION

ENDANGERED SPECIES ACT STATUS: SC (USDI, FWS 1996)
[C2 USDI, FWS 1994]
[C1 USDI, FWS 1991]
[C1 USDI, FWS 1989]
[C1 USDI, FWS 1985]

STATE STATUS: 1A (AGFD SWAP 2012)
[WSC, AGFD, WSCA in prep]
[State Endangered AGFD, TNW 1988]

OTHER STATUS: Forest Service Sensitive, (USDA FS Region 3, 2013)
[None. USDA, FS Region 3 1999]
[Forest Service Sensitive USDA, FS Region 3 1988]
VU (IUCN 2004)

MANAGEMENT FACTORS: The causes of extirpation in Arizona are not clear, but may be related to one or more of the following factors: winter cold, habitat loss, exposure to heavy metals, disease, and competition with and predation by nonnative fish and bullfrogs. Airborne pollutants from copper smelters and/or mildly acidic rain that mobilize naturally-occurring metals near streams may have resulted in toxic levels of cadmium in the frog's

habitats. A fungal disease, chytridiomycosis (see Additional Information section), implicated in global declines of frogs and toads, has been found in populations in Sonora, and was identified in specimens collected in Arizona in 1974. The disease likely contributed to observed declines and extirpations. Stressors, such as winter cold and heavy metal contamination, likely make frogs more susceptible to the effects of chytridiomycosis. (Hale and Jarchow 1988, Hale et al. 2005, NatureServe 2006, USFWS 2005).

PROTECTIVE MEASURES TAKEN: Arizona Game and Fish Commission Order 41 prohibits the collection of *L. tarahumarae* from the wild in Arizona. Specifically, it is against Arizona State law to harass, harm, pursue, hunt, shoot, wound, kill, trap, capture, or collect this animal or to attempt to engage in any such conduct. Globally, no occurrences are appropriately protected and managed (NatureServe 2006).

SUGGESTED PROJECTS: Survival, reproduction, and movements of translocated populations should be studied. Causes of the original extirpation are important to reveal. These studies could include factors such as disease and toxins.

LAND MANAGEMENT/OWNERSHIP: USFS - Coronado National Forest.

SOURCES OF FURTHER INFORMATION

REFERENCES:

- Arizona Game and Fish Department. 1988. Threatened Native Wildlife in Arizona. Arizona Game and Fish Department Publication. Phoenix, Arizona. p. 9.
- Arizona Game and Fish Department. In prep. Wildlife of special concern in Arizona. Arizona Game and Fish Department Publication. Phoenix, Arizona. 32 pp.
- Arizona Game and Fish Department. 2012. Arizona's State Wildlife Action Plan 2012-2022. Phoenix, AZ.
- ARMI National Atlas for Amphibian Distributions. 2004. *Rana tarahumarae*, Tarahumara frog. <http://www.pwrc.usgs.gov/armiatlas/species.cfm?recordID=173461>. Accessed: 20 September 2006.
- Behler, J.L. and F.W. King. 1979. The Audubon society field guide to North American reptiles and amphibians. Alfred A. Knopf. New York. p. 381.
- Boulenger, G.A. 1917. Descriptions of new frogs of the genus *Rana*. Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist. (ser. 8) 20(120):413-418.
- Bradley, G.A., P.C. Rosen, M.J. Sredl, T.R. Jones, and J.E. Longcore. 2002. Chytridiomycosis in native Arizona frogs. Journal of Wildlife Diseases, 38(1), 2002, pp. 206-212.
- Frost, D.R. (Ed.). 1985. Amphibian Species of the world: a taxonomic and geographic reference. Allen Press Inc. and The Association of Systematics Collections. Lawrence, Kansas.
- Frost, Darrel and The American Museum of Natural History. 1998-2006. Amphibian Species of the World 4.0, an Online Reference – *Lithobates tarahumarae* (Boulenger, 1917).

- <http://research.amnh.org/herpetology/amphibia/references.php?id=21752>. Accessed: 20 Sep 2006.
- Hale, S.F. 1992. A survey of historical and potential habitat for the Tarahumarae frog (*Rana tarahumarae*) in Arizona. Report to Arizona Game and Fish Department, Phoenix, Arizona and Coronado National Forest, Tucson, Arizona.
- Hale, S.F., G.M. Ferguson, P.A. Holm, and E.B. Wirt. 1998. Re-survey of selected Tarahumara frog (*Rana tarahumarae*) localities in northern Sonora, Mexico, in May 1998. Report to The Arizona Zoological Society and The Tarahumara Frog Conservation Team.
- Hale, S.F. and J.L. Jarchow. 1988. The status of the Tarahumara frog (*Rana tarahumarae*) in the United States and Mexico: Part II. Unpublished report prepared for the Arizona Game and Fish Department and Region II, Office of Endangered Species, USFWS, Albuquerque, New Mexico.
- Hale, S.F. and C.J. May. 1983. Status report for *Rana tarahumarae* Boulenger. Unpublished report prepared for: Office of Endangered Species, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Albuquerque, New Mexico.
- Hale, S.F., F. Retes and T.R. Van Devender. 1977. New population of *Rana tarahumarae* (Tarahumara frog) in Arizona. *Journal of the Arizona Academy of Sciences*. 11(3):134-135.
- Hale, S.F., P.C. Rosen, J.L. Jarchow, and G.A. Bradley. 2005. Effects of the Chytrid Fungus on the Tarahumara Frog (*Rana tarahumarae*) in Arizona and Sonora, Mexico. *USDA Forest Service Proceedings RMRS-P-36*. 2005.
- [Http://www.herpnet.com](http://www.herpnet.com). Accessed 20 September 2006.
- IUCN, Conservation International, and NatureServe. 2006. Global Amphibian Assessment. www.globalamphibians.org. Accessed on 20 September 2006.
- NatureServe. 2006. NatureServe Explorer: An online encyclopedia of life [web application]. Version 5.0. NatureServe, Arlington, Virginia. Available <http://www.natureserve.org/explorer>. (Accessed: September 20, 2006).
- Rollins-Smith, L.A., L.K. Reinert, V. Miera, and J.M. Conlon. 2002. Antimicrobial peptide defenses of the Tarahumara frog, *Rana tarahumarae*. *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications* 297 (2002) 361-367. Academic Press.
- Rorabaugh, J., in AZ PARC. 2006. Tarahumara Frog – *Rana tarahumarae*. Reptiles of Arizona. <http://www.reptilesfaz.com/Turtle-Amphibs-Subpages/h-r-tarahumarae.html>. Accessed: 20 September 2006.
- Rorabaugh, J.C. and S.F. Hale. *Rana tarahumarae* Boulenger, 1917, Tarahumara Frog. In Lannoo, M., ed. 2005. Amphibian Declines, the conservation status of United States species. University of California Press. Berkeley, California. Pp. 593-595.
- Rorabaugh, J., G. Santos-Barrera, and G. Hammerson. 2004. *Rana tarahumarae*. In: IUCN 2006. *2006 IUCN Red List of Threatened Species*. www.iucnredlist.org. Downloaded on 20 September 2006.
- Sredl, M.J., J.M. Howland, J.E. Wallace, and L.S. Saylor. 1997. Status and Distribution of Arizona's native ranid frog. Pp. 37-39 in M.J. Sredl, Ed. *Ranid Frog Conservation and Management*. Nongame and Endangered Wildlife Program Technical Report 121. Arizona Game and Fish Department, Phoenix, Arizona.

- Stebbins, R.C. 1951. *Rana tarahumarae*. Amphibians of western North America. University of California Press. Berkeley and Los Angeles, California. pp. 382-387.
- Stebbins, R.C. 1966. A field guide to western reptiles and amphibians. Houghton Mifflin Company.
- Stebbins, R.C. 1985. A field guide to western reptiles and amphibians. Second edition, revised. Houghton Mifflin Company. Boston, Massachusetts p. 87.
- Stebbins, R.C. 2003. A field guide to western reptiles and amphibians. Third edition, revised. Houghton Mifflin Company. Boston, Massachusetts. P. 234.
- USDA, Forest Service Region 3. 1988. Regional Forester's Sensitive Species List.
- USDA, Forest Service Region 3. 1999. Regional Forester's Sensitive Species List.
- USDA, Forest Service Region 3. 2013. Regional Forester's Sensitive Species List.
- USDI, Fish and Wildlife Service. 1985. Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Review of Vertebrate Wildlife. Notice of Review. Federal Register 50(181): 37962.
- USDI, Fish and Wildlife Service. 1989. Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Animal Notice of Review. Federal Register 54(4): 558.
- USDI, Fish and Wildlife Service. 1991. Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Animal Candidate Review for Listing as Endangered or Threatened Species; Proposed Rule. Federal Register 56(225): 58814.
- USDI, Fish and Wildlife Service. 1994. Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Animal Candidate Review for Listing as Endangered or Threatened Species; Proposed Rule. Federal Register 59(219): 58996.
- USDI, Fish and Wildlife Service. 1996. Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Review of Plant and Animal Taxa that are Candidates for Listing as Endangered or Threatened Species; Notice of Review; Proposed Rule. Federal Register 61(40): 7596-7613.
- USDI, Fish and Wildlife Service, Arizona Ecological Services Field Office Home Page. 2005. Tarahumara Frog (*Rana tarahumarae*). <http://www.fws.gov/southwest/es/arizonaes/>. Accessed: 20 September 2006.
- USDI, Fish and Wildlife Service, Arizona Ecological Services Field Office Home Page. 2006. Tarahumara Frog (*Rana tarahumarae*) trip report: 25 May 2006. <http://www.fws.gov/southwest/es/arizonaes/>. Accessed: 20 September 2006.
- Webb, R.G. 1978. A systematic review of the Mexican frog *Rana sierramadrensis*. Contributions to Science Los Angeles County Museum of Natural History 300:1-13.
- Wright, A.H. and A.A. Wright. 1949. *Rana tarahumarae*. Handbook of frogs and toads of the United States and Canada. Comstock Publishing Associates. Ithaca, New York. 1949:553-555.
- Zweifel, R.G. 1955. Ecology, distribution, and systematics of frogs of the *Rana boylei* group. University of California Publications in Zoology 54:207-292.
- Zweifel, R.G. 1968. *Rana tarahumarae* Amphibia: Salientia: Catalogue of American amphibians and reptiles. 66.1-66.2.

MAJOR KNOWLEDGEABLE INDIVIDUALS:

- C.R. Schwalbe – USGS at the University of Arizona, Tucson, Arizona.
S.F. Hale - Mesa, Arizona.

T.R. Van Devender - Arizona-Sonoran Desert Museum, Tucson, Arizona.

R.G. Zweifel - American Museum of Natural History, Portal, Arizona.

Jim Rorabaugh – US Fish and Wildlife Service, Phoenix, Arizona.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION:

“Chytridiomycosis is a recently recognized cutaneous infection of both wild frogs and toads (Berger et al., 1998; Bosch et al., 2000) and captive frogs (Pessier et al., 1999) caused by the fungal agent *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis*. ... Clinical signs include lethargy, abnormal posture, loss of the righting reflex, and death (Daszak et al., 1999). The infection results in a severe diffuse dermatitis characterized by epidermal hyperplasia, hyperkeratosis, and variable degrees of cutaneous ulceration and hyperemia.” (Bradley et al., 2002).

Revised: 1991-02-19 (NML)

1993-02-21 (DBI)

1995-07-18 (MJS)

1997-12-24 (SMS)

2001-04-16 (KJF)

2006-10-12 (SMS)

To the user of this abstract: you may use the entire abstract or any part of it. We do request, however, that if you make use of this abstract in plans, reports, publications, etc. that you credit the Arizona Game and Fish Department. Please use the following citation:

Arizona Game and Fish Department. 20XX (= **year of last revision as indicated at end of abstract**). X...X (= **taxon of animal or plant**). Unpublished abstract compiled and edited by the Heritage Data Management System, Arizona Game and Fish Department, Phoenix, AZ. X pp.