

**ARIZONA GAME AND FISH DEPARTMENT
HERITAGE DATA MANAGEMENT SYSTEM**

Animal Abstract

Element Code: AFCJB22010
Data Sensitivity: No

CLASSIFICATION, NOMENCLATURE, DESCRIPTION, RANGE

NAME: *Meda fulgida*
COMMON NAME: Spikedace
SYNONYMS:
FAMILY: Cyprinidae

AUTHOR, PLACE OF PUBLICATION: Girard, C. 1856, Proc. Acad. Nat. Sci. Philadelphia 8: 165-213.

TYPE LOCALITY: The specimens originally described were collected from the San Pedro River near Charleston Pass, Arizona.

TYPE SPECIMEN: There were 21 type specimens originally described by Girard 1856. These were collected by John H. Clark prior to 1856 and are currently being held in the Smithsonian National Museum of Natural History in Washington D.C., Catalog #USNM-154.

TAXONOMIC UNIQUENESS: Monotypic genus, one of six species endemic to the Colorado River basin, *Meda fulgida* being endemic more specifically to the Gila River basin in Arizona and New Mexico (and most likely in Sonora, Mexico as well).

DESCRIPTION: Maximum length rarely exceeds 75.0 mm (2.95 in.) (Rinne and Minckley 1991). No barbels. Slender body, somewhat compressed at front, strongly compressed at caudal peduncle; fairly pointed snout; slightly subterminal mouth; large eye. Dorsal fin origin behind pelvic fin origin. Scales are present only as small deeply embedded plates. The first spinous ray of the dorsal fin is the strongest and most sharp-pointed. There are seven dorsal fin-rays and typically nine anal fin-rays. Pharyngeal teeth are typically 1, 4-4, 1. Olive-gray to light brown above; brilliant silver side, often with blue reflections; black specks and blotches on back and upper side. Breeding male has spectacular, bright, brassy yellow head and fins. (Minckley 1973, Page and Burr 1991).

AIDS TO IDENTIFICATION: Spikedace are distinguishable from other similar species by comparing morphology and coloration. Spikedace bodies are slender, more strongly compressed at the caudal peduncle, and when compared to similar species other than the woundfin, appear to have more brilliant silver coloration on the sides. The spikedace most closely resembles the woundfin in morphology, however it is easily distinguishable from the woundfin by noting the lack of barbels on the spikedace which are small but present on the woundfin.

ILLUSTRATIONS: B&W photo (Minckley 1973:113)
Color drawing (Page and Burr 1991)
Color photo (Rinne and Minckley 1991:15)
Line drawing (Sublette et al. 1990:136)
B&W photos (Sublette et al. 1990:78, 79)

TOTAL RANGE: Historically, spikedace were common and locally abundant throughout the upper Gila River basin of Arizona and New Mexico. In Arizona this included the Agua Fria, San Pedro, and San Francisco River systems, and the Gila, Salt and Verde Rivers and major tributaries upstream of present day Phoenix. In New Mexico it included San Francisco River, Gila River, and the East, Middle and West Fork of the Gila.

Presently they are found in Aravaipa Creek, a tributary of the San Pedro River, Eagle Creek, and the upper Verde River system in Arizona, and the upper Gila River system in New Mexico.

RANGE WITHIN ARIZONA: A 24 km (15 mile) reach of Aravaipa Creek in Graham and Pinal counties, Eagle Creek in Greenlee County, and 57 km (35 miles) of the Verde River in Yavapai County.

SPECIES BIOLOGY AND POPULATION TRENDS

BIOLOGY: Spikedace average 1.6 in (4 cm) in length at the end of their first year, and 2.5 in (6.4 cm) by the end of the second. Fish generally live one to two years although some may reach three to even four years.

REPRODUCTION: Spawning occurs in spring and summer. Males come into breeding condition as early as April and spawning may continue through June. Breeding males have bright brassy yellow heads and fin bases, yellow bellies and fins. During courtship males patrol over shallow, sand-bottomed areas, where speed of flow is moderate. "No territoriality between males is evident, but they seem to remain evenly spaced throughout a patrolled area. Females generally enter the area from downstream, and are immediately accosted by two or more males. A "chase" occurs, with the males a little behind and in close contact with the female. The chase terminates when the female either strikes the bottom, or halts, in a flurry of males. All participants then float slowly with the current, then resume their previous activities, or, the female moves downstream, into a pool most of the time, and the males return to patrol" (Minckley 1973). Females lay approximately 100-300 eggs or more depending on size. One year old females generally lay one brood per season, whereas two year old and older females may produce two (Minckley 1973).

FOOD HABITS: Generally aquatic and terrestrial insects, will feed on fry of other fish during certain seasons. Diet composition is largely determined by type of habitat and time of year (Minckley 1973).

HABITAT: "The spikedace occupies midwater habitats of runs, pools, and swirling eddies..." (Rinne and Minckley 1991). Prefers moving water less than 1.0 m (3.3 ft.) deep and 0.3-0.6m/sec (1-2ft/sec). They concentrate in the downstream ends of riffles and eddies although many have been collected in the upstream portions of shear zones less than 0.33 m (1.1 ft.) deep. "In larger streams, found only at the mouth of creeks" (Minckley 1973). Young in backwaters over silt and sand.

ELEVATION: Current listings for elevations at points of capture range from 494 to 1,373 m (1,620 to 4,500 ft.). However, previous range is believed to have been much more extensive.

PLANT COMMUNITY:

POPULATION TRENDS: "The spikedace was formerly widespread in the (Gila) basin, but has suffered marked reductions in range in the last few decades,...in areas where the spikedace still persists, it seems far less abundant now than formerly" (Minckley 1973). According to Minckley, this species declines and explodes in numbers often (AGFD Native Fish Diversity Review 1995). Kirk Young (AGFD Native Fish Diversity Review 1995) states that currently there are four populations in Arizona. Paul Marsh (AGFD Native Fish Diversity Review 1995) believes there is a population in the white river, that has not been surveyed yet.

SPECIES PROTECTION AND CONSERVATION

ENDANGERED SPECIES ACT STATUS: LT (USDI, FWS 1986)
Critical Habitat removed (Court Order No. CIV 02-0199 JB/LCS, 08-31-2004)
[Critical Habitat designated (USDI, FWS 1994)]

STATE STATUS: WSC (AGFD, WSCA in prep)
[State Threatened AGFD, TNW 1988]

OTHER STATUS: Forest Service Sensitive (USDA, A-S National Forests 2000)
[Forest Service Sensitive, USDA, FS Region 3 1988]

MANAGEMENT FACTORS: **Threats:** stream flow depletion; diversion; habitat alteration and competition with nonnative crayfishes; predation by and competition with nonnative fishes, especially red shiner. **Management needs:** conserve, protect, and monitor existing populations; delineate spikedace priority waters; ameliorate impacts from nonnative predatory and competitive species from spikedace waters; develop captive propagation techniques; enhance or restore select habitats within historical range; reintroduce into select historical habitats.

PROTECTIVE MEASURES TAKEN: Listed Threatened (Endangered Species Act),
Critical Habitat designated.

spikedace populations. Habitat utilization, reproductive biology, and life history studies.

LAND MANAGEMENT/OWNERSHIP: BIA - San Carlos Reservation; BLM - Safford Field Office; NPS - Montezuma Castle National Monument; USFS - Apache-Sitgreaves, Coconino and Prescott National Forests; TNC - Aravaipa Canyon Preserve; Private.

SOURCES OF FURTHER INFORMATION

REFERENCES:

- Arizona Game and Fish Department. 1988. Threatened Native Wildlife in Arizona. p. 27.
- Arizona Game and Fish Department. In prep. Wildlife of special concern in Arizona. Arizona Game and Fish Department Publication. Phoenix, Arizona. 32 pp.
- Arizona Game and Fish Department Native Fish Diversity Review. 1995. Tempe, Arizona.
- Minckley, W.L. 1973. Fishes of Arizona. Arizona Game and Fish Department, Phoenix. pp. 113-115.
- Page, L.M. and B.M. Burr. 1991. A field guide to freshwater fishes: North America, north of Mexico. Houghton Mifflin Co., Boston. pp. 82-83.
- Rinne, J.N. and W.L. Minckley. 1991. Native fishes of arid lands: a dwindling resource of the desert southwest. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, General Technical Report RM-206. Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station, Fort Collins, Colorado. pp. 15-16.
- Sublette, J.E., M.D. Hatch, and M. Sublette. 1990. The fishes of New Mexico. University of New Mexico Press, Albuquerque. pp. 136-139.
- USDA, Forest Service Region 3. 1988. Regional Forester's Sensitive Species List.
- USDA, Forest Service. 2000. Apache-Sitgreaves National Forests Sensitive Species List.
- USDI, Fish and Wildlife Service. 1986. Endangered and threatened wildlife and plants; determination of threatened status for the spikedace. Federal Register 51(126):23769-23781
- USDI, Fish and Wildlife Service. 1994. Endangered and threatened wildlife and plants; designation of critical habitat for the threatened spikedace (*Meda fulgida*). Federal Register 59(45)10906-10914.

MAJOR KNOWLEDGEABLE INDIVIDUALS:

Kirk L. Young - Arizona Game and Fish Department, Fisheries Branch, Phoenix.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION:

Revised: 1994-07-22 (MFK)
1994-08-22 (MHH)
1995-01-29 (KLY)

To the user of this abstract: you may use this entire abstract or any part of it. We do request, however, that if you make use of this abstract in plans, reports, publications, etc. that you credit the Arizona Game and Fish Department. Please use the following citation:

Arizona Game and Fish Department. 20XX (= **year of last revision as indicated at end of abstract**). X...X (= **taxon of animal or plant**). Unpublished abstract compiled and edited by the Heritage Data Management System, Arizona Game and Fish Department, Phoenix, AZ. X pp.