

**ARIZONA GAME AND FISH DEPARTMENT  
HERITAGE DATA MANAGEMENT SYSTEM**

**Animal Abstract**

**Element Code:** AAABH01150

**Data Sensitivity:** No

**CLASSIFICATION, NOMENCLATURE, DESCRIPTION, RANGE**

**NAME:** *Rana onca*  
**COMMON NAME:** Relict leopard frog, Utah frog, Vegas Valley leopard frog.  
**SYNONYMS:** *Rana pipiens* (complex), *Rana fisheri*  
**FAMILY:** Anura: Rnidae

**AUTHOR/PLACE OF PUBLICATION:** Cope, 1875 – in Yarrow, in Wheeler’s Rep. Surv. W. 100<sup>th</sup> Mer., Vol.5, Zool., p. 528.

**TYPE LOCALITY:** Within the Virgin River drainage, probably collected in the vicinity of St. George, Washington County, Utah by Henry Crècy Yarrow in 1872.

**TYPE SPECIMEN:** Henry Crècy Yarrow, 1872. Single adult female collected.

**TAXONOMIC UNIQUENESS:** In North America, *onca* is 1 of 21+ species in the genus *Rana*, and about 1 of 400 species in genus, primarily in the Old World. Validity of *onca* as a species is controversial. Taxonomic question as to whether populations in the Virgin River are *onca* or something else. Jaeger et al. (2001) examined variation in mtDNA and morphology, and concluded that certain leopard frog populations in the Virgin River/Black Canyon (Colorado River) region represent a species (*R. onca*) distinct from *R. yavapaiensis* (NatureServe 2002).

**DESCRIPTION:** A medium-sized leopard frog with body lengths of 4.45 to 8.90 cm (1.75 to 3.5 in). Dorsal coloration is brown, gray, or greenish, with greenish brown “leopard” spots. The underside is whitish, with dark mottling on the throat and yellow or yellow-orange in the groin and on the underside of the hind limbs. A glandular fold runs down each side of the back, becoming indistinct half to three-quarters of the way towards the rear of the animal. The upper jaw has a light stripe, and the eardrum may have a light center. The male has swollen thumbs.

**AIDS TO IDENTIFICATION:** The relict frog is very similar (in appearance) and closely related to the lowland leopard frog (*Rana yavapaiensis*). It is distinguished from similar species of the *Rana pipiens* complex by its short, indistinct, dorsolateral folds that extend ½ to ¾ down the dorsum, generally shortened legs, an incomplete supralabial stripe, upper surfaces of the thighs usually spotted and not barred, and males having enlarged tympana, paired vocal sacs, and no vestigial oviducts (Sandmeier, 2001).

**ILLUSTRATIONS:** Drawing (Behler and King 1979:376)

Drawing (Smith 1978:69)

Color photo

(<http://www.lasvegasm Mercury.com/2002/MERC-Jun-06-Thu-2002/18901371.html>)

**TOTAL RANGE:** Virgin River Drainage in SE Nevada and NW Arizona. Previously believed to be extinct; rediscovered in the early 1990s, and, as of 2001, known from small populations in several springs in Arizona and Nevada (NatureServe 2002). Populations in Utah appear to have been extinct since the 1950's (USFWS 2002).

**RANGE WITHIN ARIZONA:** Extreme NW corner of the state in the Virgin River drainage near Littlefield, Mohave County.

### **SPECIES BIOLOGY AND POPULATION TRENDS**

**BIOLOGY:** A nocturnal frog that is inactive in cold temperatures. Like other leopard frogs, when frightened this species will flee into the water or to the security of an overgrown grassy bank (Behler and King 1979).

**REPRODUCTION:** Reproductive information is incomplete due to inadequate studies of this species. Eggs have been found in November and February, and calling (low throaty snore) has been heard in February, June, and November. (Jennings et al. 1995, *in* NatureServe 2002).

**FOOD HABITS:** Adults probably are mainly invertivorous. Larvae probably eat algae, organic debris, plant tissue, and minute organisms in water.

**HABITAT:** According to the USFWS (2002), "Adult frogs inhabit permanent streams, springs, and spring-fed wetlands below approximately 600 m (1,968 ft). Adults may prefer relatively open shorelines where dense vegetation does not dominate. Breeding habitat includes pools or slow moving side areas of streams, with or without emergent vegetation." They typically are found in or near water, or among *Scirpus*.

**ELEVATION:** 680 – 1,900 ft (207-580 m) in Arizona (AGFD, unpublished data accessed 2003).

**PLANT COMMUNITY:**

**POPULATION TRENDS:** Unknown. As of 2001, there were roughly a half dozen known extant occurrences in Nevada and Arizona (Jaeger et al. 2001, *in* NatureServe 2002).

### **SPECIES PROTECTION AND CONSERVATION**

**ENDANGERED SPECIES ACT STATUS:** C (USDI, FWS 2002)  
[None (USDI, FWS 1994)]

[3A USDI, FWS 1991]  
[3A USDI, FWS 1989]  
[3A USDI, FWS 1985]  
WSC (AGFD, WSCA in prep)  
Sensitive (USDA, FS Region 3, 1999)

**STATE LIST STATUS:**  
**OTHER STATUS:**

**MANAGEMENT FACTORS:** Threats include elimination or dramatic alteration of aquatic habitat due to dams, agriculture, marsh draining, and water development and the spread of predator and nonnative bullfrogs, crayfish, and predaceous fishes. A fungal disease, chytridiomycosis. (USFWS 2002). Extremely limited distribution. Habitat preservation needed.

**PROTECTIVE MEASURES TAKEN:** The relict frog has been petitioned for listing. In addition, a multi-agency working group is developing a conservation agreement to maintain viable populations of this frog in the wild. (USFWS 2002). Arizona fishing license required to take any amphibian.

**SUGGESTED PROJECTS:** Distribution, population and life history studies are needed. Determination of habitat conditions, are required for long-term survival of this species. Surveys for existing and potential populations should be done at night, and at least twice weekly during expected periods of breeding (fall and late winter) (Jennings et al. 1995, *in* NatureServe 2002).

**LAND MANAGEMENT/OWNERSHIP:** BLM – Arizona Strip Field Office; NPS – Lake Mead National Recreation Area.

## **SOURCES OF FURTHER INFORMATION**

### **REFERENCES:**

- Arizona Game and Fish Department. In prep. Wildlife of Special Concern in Arizona. Arizona Game and Fish Department Publication. Phoenix, Arizona. 32pp.
- Behler, J.L. and F.W. King. 1979. The Audubon Society field guide to North American reptiles and amphibians. Reprint 1992. Alfred A. Knopf, New York. Pp. 376-377.
- Bury, R.B., C.K. Dodd, and G.M. Fellers. 1980. Conservation of the Amphibia of the United States: A review. U.S.D.I. Fish and Wildlife Service Resource Publication #134.
- Integrated Taxonomic Information System (ITIS). Retrieved 4/16/2003 from ITIS, <http://www.itis.usda.gov>.
- NatureServe Explorer: An online encyclopedia of life [web application]. 2002. Version 1.6. Arlington, Virginia, USA: NatureServe. Available: <http://www.natureserve.org/explorer>. (Accessed: April 16, 2003).
- Sandmeier, F.D. Wake Ed. 2001. *Rana onca*, Relict Leopard Frog. AmphibiaWeb, [http://elib.cs.berkeley.edu/cgi-bin/amphib\\_query?where-genus=Rana&where-species=onca](http://elib.cs.berkeley.edu/cgi-bin/amphib_query?where-genus=Rana&where-species=onca). Accessed: 4/16/2003.
- Smith, H.M. 1978. P. 69.

- Stebbins, R.C. 1951. Pp. 365-367.
- Stebbins, R.C. 1985. A field guide to western reptiles and amphibians. Second edition, revised. Houghton Mifflin Company, Boston. P. 90.
- USDA, Forest Service Region 3. 1999. Regional Forester's Sensitive Species List.
- USDI, Fish and Wildlife Service. 1985. Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Review of Vertebrate Wildlife; Notice of Review. Federal Register 50(181): 37962.
- USDI, Fish and Wildlife Service. 1989. Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Animal Notice of Review. Federal Register 54(4): 558.
- USDI, Fish and Wildlife Service. 1991. Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Animal Candidate Review for Listing as Endangered or Threatened Species; Notice of Review; Proposed Rule. Federal Register 56(225): 58814.
- USDI, Fish and Wildlife Service. 1994. Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Animal Candidate Review for Listing as Endangered or Threatened Species; Notice of Review; Proposed Rule. Federal Register 59(219): 58982-58984.
- USDI, Fish and Wildlife Service. Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Review of Plant and Animal Taxa that are Candidates for Listing as Endangered or Threatened Species; Notice of Review; Proposed Rule. Federal Register 61(40): 7596-7613.
- USDI, Fish and Wildlife Service. 2002. Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Review of Species that are Candidates or Proposed for Listing a Endangered or Threatened; Annual Notice of Findings on Recycled Petitions; Annual Description of Progress on Listing Actions; Notice of Review. Federal Register 67(114): 40673.
- USDI, Fish and Wildlife Service, Arizona Ecological Services Field Office. 2002. General species information, Relict leopard frog, *Rana onca*. <http://arizonaes.fws.gov/>. Accessed: 5/2/2003.
- Wright and Wright. 1949. Pp. 473-476.

**MAJOR KNOWLEDGEABLE INDIVIDUALS:**

- C.R. Schwalbe - University of Arizona, Tucson.
- T.R. Van Devender - Arizona Sonoran Desert Museum, Tucson.
- J. Applegarh

**ADDITIONAL INFORMATION:**

**Revised:** 1991-02-15 (NML)  
 1998-01-29 (SMS)  
 2003-04-24 (SMS)

To the user of this abstract: you may use the entire abstract or any part of it. We do request, however, that if you make use of this abstract in plans, reports, publications, etc. that you credit the Arizona Game and Fish Department. Please use the following citation:

Arizona Game and Fish Department. 20XX (= **year of last revision as indicated at end of abstract**). X...X (= **taxon of animal or plant**). Unpublished abstract compiled and edited by the Heritage Data Management System, Arizona Game and Fish Department, Phoenix, AZ. X pp.